favor the development of U.V.-induced mutants throws new light on experiments recently carried out in our laboratory. Exponentially growing cultures of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* were irradiated with moderate doses of U.V., and after various post-irradiation treatments the fractions of cells surviving to form colonies on broth plates were determined. It was found that *maximum killing* was obtained when the conditions maintained during the first 15 to 30 minutes after irradiation were such as, according to Witkin, will produce the *maximum number of mutants*. This parallelism extends to nearly all the different growth conditions, including the various combinations of nutrients and growth factors, described by Witkin.

This strongly suggests that the killing of bacteria by U.V. results from processes secondary to the absorption of radiation energy *and* that the same, or closely related processes may lead to the formation of non-lethal mutants. (A paper by Victor G. Bonce and myself, containing some of our observations on the effects of postirradiation treatment has been accepted for publication in Biochim. Biophys. Acta.)

WITKIN: In our experience, too, the survival of bacteria irradiated in the exponential phase of growth is exceedingly sensitive to postirradiation nutritional factors, and it is interesting to hear that these effects parallel those we have obtained for induced prototrophy. In our studies, we avoided the use of growing cultures, as well as the use of liquid culture media in the postirradiation growth period, specifically because of the fact that, under these conditions, survival is so greatly affected by altered conditions that the effects on induced mutation become very difficult to follow. In the strains used in this study, survival after ultraviolet treatment is remarkably uniform under the whole spectrum of post-treatments used, if stationary phase cultures and solid media are employed. While this facilitates the study of induced mutations independently of lethal effects, I do not wish to imply that the modifications of survival level are unimportant. The fact that effects on mutation and on survival are separable, however, supports the already well-documented idea that the postirradiation metabolic sequence is branched, as well as multi-step.

GENETICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE STRUCTURE OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID

By J. D. WATSON and F. H. C. CRICK

Medical Research Council Unit for the Study of the Molecular Structure of Biological Systems, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge

THE importance of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) within living cells is undisputed. It is found in all dividing cells, largely if not entirely in the nucleus, where it is an essential constituent of the chromosomes. Many lines of evidence indicate that it is the carrier of a part of (if not all) the genetic specificity of the chromosomes and thus of the gene itself.



Fig. 1. Chemical formula of a single chain of deoxyribonucleic acid



Fig. 2. This figure is purely diagrammatic. The two ribbons symbolize the two phosphate-sugar chains, and the horizontal rods the pairs of bases holding the chains together. The vertical line marks the fibre axis

Reprinted by permission of Macmillan & Company, Ltd., from NATURE, 171, 964-969 (1953).

Until now, however, no evidence has been presented to show how it might carry out the essential operation required of a genetic material, that of exact self-duplication.

We have recently proposed a structure[1] for the salt of deoxyribonucleic acid which, if correct, immediately suggests a mechanism for its self-duplication. X-ray evidence obtained by the workers at King's College, London[2], and presented at the same time, gives qualitative support to our structure and is incompatible with all previously proposed structures[3]. Though the structure will not be completely proved until a more extensive comparison has been made with the X-ray data, we now feel sufficient confidence in its general correctness to discuss its genetical implications. In doing so we are assuming that fibres of the salt of deoxyribonucleic acid are not artefacts arising in the method of preparation, since it has been shown by Wilkins and his co-workers that similar X-ray patterns are obtained from both the isolated fibres and certain intact biological materials such as sperm head and bacteriophage particles[2,4].

The chemical formula of deoxyribonucleic acid is now well established. The molecule is a very long chain, the backbone of which consists of a regular alternation of sugar and phosphate groups, as shown in Fig. 1. To each sugar is attached a nitrogenous base, which can be of four different types. (We have considered 5-methyl cytosine to be equivalent to cytosine, since either can fit equally well into our structure.) Two of the possible bases—adenine and guanine—are purines, and the other two—thymine and cytosine—are pyrimidines. So far as is known, the sequence of bases along the chain is irregular. The monomer unit, consisting of phosphate, sugar and base, is known as a nucleotide.

The first feature of our structure which is of biological interest is that it consists not of one chain, but of two. These two chains are both coiled around a common fibre axis, as is shown diagrammatically in Fig. 2. It has often been assumed that since there was only one chain in the chemical formula there would only be one in the structural unit. However, the density, taken with the X-ray evidence[2], suggests very strongly that there are two.

The other biologically important feature is the manner in which the two chains are held together. This is done by hydrogen bonds between the bases, as shown schematically in Fig. 3. The bases are joined together in pairs, a single base from one chain being hydrogen-bonded to a single base from the other. The important point is that only certain pairs of bases will fit into the structure. One member of a pair must be a purine and the other a pyrimidine in order to bridge between the two chains. If a pair consisted of two purines, for example, there would not be room for it.

We believe that the bases will be present almost entirely in their most probable tautomeric forms. If this is true, the conditions for forming hydrogen bonds are more restrictive, and the only pairs of bases possible are:

adenine with thymine;
guanine with cytosine.

The way in which these are joined together is shown in Figs. 4 and 5. A given pair can be either way round. Adenine, for example, can occur on either chain; but when it does, its partner on the other chain must always be thymine.

This pairing is strongly supported by the recent analytical results[5], which show that for all sources of deoxyribonucleic acid examined the amount of adenine is close to the amount of thymine, and the amount of guanine close to the amount of cytosine, although the cross-ratio (the ratio of adenine to guanine) can vary from one source to another. Indeed, if the sequence of bases on one chain is irregular, it is difficult to explain these analytical results except by the sort of pairing we have suggested.

The phosphate-sugar backbone of our model is completely regular, but any sequence of the pairs of



Fig. 3. Chemical formula of a pair of deoxyribonucleic acid chains. The hydrogen bonding is symbolized by dotted lines



Fig. 4. Pairing of adenine and thymine. Hydrogen bonds are shown dotted. One carbon atom of each sugar is shown



Fig. 5. Pairing of guanine and cytosine. Hydrogen bonds are shown dotted. One carbon atom of each sugar is shown

bases can fit into the structure. It follows that in a long molecule many different permutations are possible, and it therefore seems likely that the precise sequence of the bases is the code which carries the genetical information. If the actual order of the bases on one of the pair of chains were given, one could write down the exact order of the bases on the other one, because of the specific pairing. Thus one chain is, as it were, the complement of the other, and it is this feature which suggests how the deoxyribonucleic acid molecule might duplicate itself.

Previous discussions of self-duplication have usually involved the concept of a template, or mould. Either

the template was supposed to copy itself directly or it was to produce a 'negative', which in its turn was to act as a template and produce the original 'positive' once again. In no case has it been explained in detail how it would do this in terms of atoms and molecules.

Now our model for deoxyribonucleic acid is, in effect, a *pair* of templates, each of which is complementary to the other. We imagine that prior to duplication the hydrogen bonds are broken, and the two chains unwind and separate. Each chain then acts as a template for the formation on to itself of a new companion chain, so that eventually we shall have *two* pairs of chains, where we only had one before. Moreover, the sequence of the pairs of bases will have been duplicated exactly.

A study of our model suggests that this duplication could be done most simply if the single chain (or the relevant portion of it) takes up the helical configuration. We imagine that at this stage in the life of the cell, free nucleotides, strictly polynucleotide precursors, are available in quantity. From time to time the base of a free nucleotide will join up by hydrogen bonds to one of the bases on the chain already formed. We now postulate that the polymerization of these monomers to form a new chain is only possible if the resulting chain can form the proposed structure. This is plausible, because steric reasons would not allow nucleotides 'crystallized' on to the first chain to approach one another in such a way that they could be joined together into a new chain, unless they were those nucleotides which were necessary to form our structure. Whether a special enzyme is required to carry out the polymerization, or whether the single helical chain already formed acts effectively as an enzyme, remains to be seen.

Since the two chains in our model are intertwined, it is essential for them to untwist if they are to separate. As they make one complete turn around each other in 34 A., there will be about 150 turns per million molecular weight, so that whatever the precise structure of the chromosome a considerable amount of uncoiling would be necessary. It is well known from microscopic observation that much coiling and uncoiling occurs during mitosis, and though this is on a much larger scale it probably reflects similar processes on a molecular level. Although it is difficult at the moment to see how these processes occur without everything getting tangled, we do not feel that this objection will be insuperable.

Our structure, as described[1], is an open one. There is room between the pair of polynucleotide chains

(see Fig. 2) for a polypeptide chain to wind around the same helical axis. It may be significant that the distance between adjacent phosphorus atoms, 7·1 A., is close to the repeat of a fully extended polypeptide chain. We think it probable that in the sperm head, and in artificial nucleoproteins, the polypeptide chain occupies this position. The relative weakness of the second layer-line in the published X-ray pictures[3a,4] is crudely compatible with such an idea. The function of the protein might well be to control the coiling and uncoiling, to assist in holding a single polynucleotide chain in a helical configuration, or some other non-specific function.

Our model suggests possible explanations for a number of other phenomena. For example, spontaneous mutation may be due to a base occasionally occurring in one of its less likely tautomeric forms. Again, the pairing between homologous chromosomes at meiosis may depend on pairing between specific bases. We shall discuss these ideas in detail elsewhere.

For the moment, the general scheme we have proposed for the reproduction of deoxyribonucleic acid must be regarded as speculative. Even if it is correct, it is clear from what we have said that much remains to be discovered before the picture of genetic duplication can be described in detail. What are the polynucleotide precursors ? What makes the pair of chains unwind and separate ? What is the precise role of the protein ? Is the chromosome one long pair of deoxyribonucleic acid chains, or does it consist of patches of the acid joined together by protein ?

Despite these uncertainties we feel that our proposed structure for deoxyribonucleic acid may help to solve one of the fundamental biological problems—the molecular basis of the template needed for genetic replication. The hypothesis we are suggesting is that the template is the pattern of bases formed by one chain of the deoxyribonucleic acid and that the gene contains a complementary pair of such templates.

One of us (J. D. W.) has been aided by a fellowship from the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis (U.S.A.).

[1] Watson, J. D., and Crick, F. H. C., *Nature*, **171**, 737 (1953).
[2] Wilkins, M. H. F., Stokes, A. R., and Wilson, H. R., *Nature*, **171**, 738 (1953). Franklin, R. E., and Gosling, R. G., *Nature*, **171**, 740 (1953).
[3] (*a*) Astbury, W. T., Symp. No. 1 Soc. Exp. Biol., 66 (1947). (*b*) Furberg, S., *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **6**, 634 (1952). (*c*) Pauling, L., and Corey, R. B., *Nature*, **171**, 346 (1953); *Proc. U.S. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **39**, 84 (1953). (*d*) Fraser, R. D. B. (in preparation).
[4] Wilkins, M. H. F., and Randall, J. T., *Biochim. et Biophys. Acta*, **10**, 192 (1953).
[5] Chargaff, E., for references see Zamenhof, S., Brawerman, G., and Chargaff, E., *Biochim. et Biophys. Acta*, **9**, 402 (1952). Wyatt, G. R., *J. Gen. Physiol.*, **36**, 201 (1952).

Printed in Great Britain by Fisher, Knight & Co., Ltd., St. Albans.

SELECTIVE MECHANISMS IN BACTERIA[1]

K. C. ATWOOD, LILLIAN K. SCHNEIDER AND FRANCIS J. RYAN

Department of Zoology, Columbia University, New York

The objective in population genetics is to reconstruct the possible, or more rarely the actual, sequence of events in the evolution of organisms in terms of changes resulting from the interplay of mutation and selection. The possibility of entirely succeeding in this is of course dependent on a valid and complete assessment of the attributes of the genetic systems involved. Failing in this, we may empirically examine the genetic constitution of populations before specifying any precise basis for the variability observed. The non-sexual bacteria offer good opportunities along these lines, because the immediate source of genetic variability resides in the capacity of the existing genotype to mutate, and not in the emergence of recombinant types. In other words, the reservoir of variability is not concealed, but is directly represented by the components of heterogeneous populations.

By selection we shall understand those factors other than mutation which influence the frequencies of mutants in populations. Many forms of selection can be imagined which would tend to alter the proportions of mutants. These, for convenience, can be divided into two categories which we can call specific and non-specific. In the case of specific selection, the selective differential between mutant and parental type is a direct consequence of the mutation itself, whether primarily or as part of some pleiotropic complex. The mechanisms involved in imposed or specific selection must be separately considered for individual cases and some explanations, mostly on a biochemical level, have been offered by Braun (1947), Braun, Goodlow and Kraft (1950) and Guthrie (1949). Non-specific selection, on the other hand, consists of those factors which operate to alter the frequencies of a variety of unrelated mutants simultaneously.

In considering the role of selection as a factor determining the constitution of bacterial populations, it is instructive to imagine a hypothetical situation in which no selection operates and the population is giving rise to a number of

[1]This work was supported in part by an American Cancer Society grant recommended by the Committee on Growth of the National Research Council and by a research grant from the Division of Research Grants and Fellowships of the National Institutes of Health, U. S Public Health Service.
The authors are grateful to Dr. Amos Norman for his help in formulating the equations

Reprinted by permission of the Long Island Biological Association
from COLD SPRING HARBOR SYMPOSIA ON QUANTITATIVE BIOLOGY,
16, 345-355 (1951).